

# Higher Order Multivariate Fuzzy Approximation by basic Neural Network Operators

GEORGE A. ANASTASSIOU

*Department of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.*  
*ganastss@memphis.edu*

## ABSTRACT

Here are studied in terms of multivariate fuzzy high approximation to the multivariate unit basic sequences of multivariate fuzzy neural network operators. These operators are multivariate fuzzy analogs of earlier studied multivariate real ones. The produced results generalize earlier real ones into the fuzzy setting. Here the high order multivariate fuzzy pointwise convergence with rates to the multivariate fuzzy unit operator is established through multivariate fuzzy inequalities involving the multivariate fuzzy moduli of continuity of the  $N$ th order ( $N \geq 1$ ) H-fuzzy partial derivatives, of the engaged multivariate fuzzy number valued function.

## RESUMEN

Utilizando aproximaciones multivariadas difusas superiores, estudiamos la aplicación a secuencias básicas unitarias multivariadas de operadores de redes neuronales difusas multivariadas. Estos operadores son análogos difusos multivariados de los reales multivariados estudiados anteriormente. Los resultados obtenidos generalizan los resultados reales anteriores en el marco difuso. La convergencia puntual difusa multivariada de orden superior con velocidades para los operadores unitarios difusos multivariados se establece a través de desigualdades difusas multivariadas que involucran los módulos de continuidad difusos multivariados de las derivadas parciales H-difusas de  $N$ -ésimo orden ( $N \geq 1$ ) de las funciones con valores numéricos difusos multivariados.

**Keywords and Phrases:** multivariate fuzzy real analysis, multivariate fuzzy neural network operators, high order multivariate fuzzy approximation, multivariate fuzzy modulus of continuity and multivariate Jackson type inequalities.

**2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 26A15, 26E50, 41A17, 41A25, 41A99, 47S40.

# 1 Fuzzy real Analysis Background

We need the following background

**Definition 1.** (see [14]) Let  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the following properties

(i) is normal, i.e.,  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}; \mu(x_0) = 1$ .

(ii)  $\mu(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \lambda \in [0, 1]$  ( $\mu$  is called a convex fuzzy subset).

(iii)  $\mu$  is upper semicontinuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.  $\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists$  neighborhood  $V(x_0) : \mu(x) \leq \mu(x_0) + \varepsilon, \forall x \in V(x_0)$ .

(iv) The set  $\overline{\text{supp}(\mu)}$  is compact in  $\mathbb{R}$ , (where  $\text{supp}(\mu) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(x) > 0\}$ ).

We call  $\mu$  a fuzzy real number. Denote the set of all  $\mu$  with  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

E.g.  $\chi_{\{x_0\}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , for any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\chi_{\{x_0\}}$  is the characteristic function at  $x_0$ .

For  $0 < r \leq 1$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  define

$$[\mu]^r := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(x) \geq r\} \quad (1)$$

and

$$[\mu]^0 := \overline{\{x \in \mathbb{R} : \mu(x) \geq 0\}}.$$

Then it is well known that for each  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $[\mu]^r$  is a closed and bounded interval on  $\mathbb{R}$  ([11]).

For  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define uniquely the sum  $u \oplus v$  and the product  $\lambda \odot u$  by

$$[u \oplus v]^r = [u]^r + [v]^r, [\lambda \odot u]^r = \lambda [u]^r, \forall r \in [0, 1],$$

where  $[u]^r + [v]^r$  means the usual addition of two intervals (as subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and  $\lambda [u]^r$  means the usual product between a scalar and a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  (see, e.g. [14]).

Notice  $1 \odot u = u$  and it holds

$$u \oplus v = v \oplus u, \lambda \odot u = u \odot \lambda.$$

If  $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq 1$  then

$$[u]^{r_2} \subseteq [u]^{r_1}.$$

Actually  $[u]^r = [u_-^{(r)}, u_+^{(r)}]$ , where  $u_-^{(r)} \leq u_+^{(r)}, u_-^{(r)}, u_+^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall r \in [0, 1]$ .

For  $\lambda > 0$  one has  $\lambda u_{\pm}^{(r)} = (\lambda \odot u)_{\pm}^{(r)}$ , respectively.

Define  $D : \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \times \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  by

$$D(u, v) := \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max \left\{ \left| u_-^{(r)} - v_-^{(r)} \right|, \left| u_+^{(r)} - v_+^{(r)} \right| \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$[v]^r = [v_-^{(r)}, v_+^{(r)}]; u, v \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

We have that  $D$  is a metric on  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Then  $(\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}, D)$  is a complete metric space, see [14], [15].

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . We define the distance

$$D^*(f, g) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} D(f(x), g(x)).$$

Here  $\Sigma^*$  stands for fuzzy summation and  $\tilde{0} := \chi_{\{0\}} \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is the neutral element with respect to  $\oplus$ , i.e.,

$$u \oplus \tilde{0} = \tilde{0} \oplus u = u, \forall u \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

We need

**Remark 2.** ([5]). Here  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x_i^{(r)}, y_i^{(r)} \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that

$$\sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max(x_i^{(r)}, y_i^{(r)}) \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then one sees easily that

$$\sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^{(r)}, \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^{(r)}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max(x_i^{(r)}, y_i^{(r)}). \quad (3)$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , which is bounded or uniformly continuous, we define ( $h > 0$ )

$$\omega_1(f, h) := \sup_{\text{all } x_i, x'_i \in \mathbb{R}, |x_i - x'_i| \leq h, \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m} |f(x_1, \dots, x_m) - f(x'_1, \dots, x'_m)|. \quad (4)$$

**Definition 4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we define the fuzzy modulus of continuity of  $f$  by

$$\omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}(f, \delta) = \sup_{x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m, |x_i - y_i| \leq \delta, \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m} D(f(x), f(y)), \delta > 0, \quad (5)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$ .

For  $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we use

$$[f]^r = [f_-^{(r)}, f_+^{(r)}], \quad (6)$$

where  $f_{\pm}^{(r)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\forall r \in [0, 1]$ .

We need

**Proposition 5.** Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Assume that  $\omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}(f, \delta)$ ,  $\omega_1(f_-^{(r)}, \delta)$ ,  $\omega_1(f_+^{(r)}, \delta)$  are finite for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $r \in [0, 1]$ .

Then

$$\omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}(f, \delta) = \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max \left\{ \omega_1(f_-^{(r)}, \delta), \omega_1(f_+^{(r)}, \delta) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* By Proposition 1 of [8]. ■

We define by  $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  the space of fuzzy uniformly continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , also  $C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is the space of fuzzy continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is the fuzzy continuous and bounded functions.

We mention

**Proposition 6.** ([7]) Let  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Then  $\omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}(f, \delta) < \infty$ , for any  $\delta > 0$ .

**Proposition 7.** ([7]) It holds

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}(f, \delta) = \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}(f, 0) = 0, \quad (8)$$

iff  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

**Proposition 8.** ([7]) Let  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . Then  $f_{\pm}^{(r)}$  are equicontinuous with respect to  $r \in [0, 1]$  over  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively in  $\pm$ .

**Note:** It is clear by Propositions 5, 7, that if  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , then  $f_{\pm}^{(r)} \in C_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$  (uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ).

We need

**Definition 9.** Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . If there exists  $z \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}: x = y \oplus z$ , then we call  $z$  the H-difference on  $x$  and  $y$ , denoted  $x - y$ .

**Definition 10.** ([14]) Let  $T := [x_0, x_0 + \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\beta > 0$ . A function  $f: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  is H-difference at  $x \in T$  if there exists an  $f'(x) \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  such that the limits (with respect to D)

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}, \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h} \quad (9)$$

exist and are equal to  $f'(x)$ .

We call  $f'$  the H-derivative or fuzzy derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ .

Above is assumed that the H-differences  $f(x+h) - f(x)$ ,  $f(x) - f(x-h)$  exists in  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  in a neighborhood of  $x$ .

**Definition 11.** We denote by  $C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the space of all  $N$ -times fuzzy continuously differentiable functions from  $\mathbb{R}^m$  into  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .

Here fuzzy partial derivatives are defined via Definition 10 in the obvious way as in the ordinary real case.

We mention

**Theorem 12.** ([12]) Let  $f : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be H-fuzzy differentiable. Let  $t \in [a, b]$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . Clearly

$$[f(t)]^r = \left[ f(t)_-^{(r)}, f(t)_+^{(r)} \right] \subseteq \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $(f(t))_{\pm}^{(r)}$  are differentiable and

$$[f'(t)]^r = \left[ \left( f(t)_-^{(r)} \right)', \left( f(t)_+^{(r)} \right)' \right].$$

I.e.

$$(f')_{\pm}^{(r)} = \left( f_{\pm}^{(r)} \right)', \quad \forall r \in [0, 1]. \tag{10}$$

**Remark 13.** (see also [6]) Let  $f \in C^N(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ ,  $N \geq 1$ . Then by Theorem 12 we obtain  $f_{\pm}^{(r)} \in C^N(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$\left[ f^{(i)}(t) \right]^r = \left[ \left( f(t)_-^{(r)} \right)^{(i)}, \left( f(t)_+^{(r)} \right)^{(i)} \right],$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ , and in particular we have

$$\left( f^{(i)} \right)_{\pm}^{(r)} = \left( f_{\pm}^{(r)} \right)^{(i)}, \tag{11}$$

for any  $r \in [0, 1]$ .

Let  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^N(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , denote  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}} := \frac{\partial^{\tilde{\alpha}} f}{\partial x^{\tilde{\alpha}}}$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha} := (\tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_m)$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and

$$0 < |\tilde{\alpha}| := \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_i \leq N, \quad N > 1.$$

Then by Theorem 12 we get that

$$\left( f_{\pm}^{(r)} \right)_{\tilde{\alpha}} = (f_{\tilde{\alpha}})_{\pm}^{(r)}, \quad \forall r \in [0, 1], \tag{12}$$

and any  $\tilde{\alpha} : |\tilde{\alpha}| \leq N$ . Here  $f_{\pm}^{(r)} \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

For the definition of general fuzzy integral we follow [13] next.

**Definition 14.** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. We call  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  measurable iff  $\forall$  closed  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  the function  $F^{-1}(B) : \Omega \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by

$$F^{-1}(B)(w) := \sup_{x \in B} F(w)(x), \quad \text{all } w \in \Omega$$

is measurable, see [13].

**Theorem 15.** ([13]) For  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,

$$F(w) = \{(F_-^{(r)}(w), F_+^{(r)}(w)) | 0 \leq r \leq 1\},$$

the following are equivalent

- (1)  $F$  is measurable,
- (2)  $\forall r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $F_-^{(r)}$ ,  $F_+^{(r)}$  are measurable.

Following [13], given that for each  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $F_-^{(r)}$ ,  $F_+^{(r)}$  are integrable we have that the parametrized representation

$$\left\{ \left( \int_A F_-^{(r)} d\mu, \int_A F_+^{(r)} d\mu \right) | 0 \leq r \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a fuzzy real number for each  $A \in \Sigma$ .

The last fact leads to

**Definition 16.** ([13]) A measurable function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,

$$F(w) = \{(F_-^{(r)}(w), F_+^{(r)}(w)) | 0 \leq r \leq 1\}$$

is integrable if for each  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,  $F_{\pm}^{(r)}$  are integrable, or equivalently, if  $F_{\pm}^{(0)}$  are integrable.

In this case, the fuzzy integral of  $F$  over  $A \in \Sigma$  is defined by

$$\int_A F d\mu := \left\{ \left( \int_A F_-^{(r)} d\mu, \int_A F_+^{(r)} d\mu \right) | 0 \leq r \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (13)$$

By [13]  $F$  is integrable iff  $w \rightarrow \|F(w)\|_{\mathcal{F}}$  is real-valued integrable. Here

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{F}} := D(u, \tilde{0}), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

We need also

**Theorem 17.** ([13]) Let  $F, G : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be integrable. Then

- (1) Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $aF + bG$  is integrable and for each  $A \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\int_A (aF + bG) d\mu = a \int_A F d\mu + b \int_A G d\mu;$$

- (2)  $D(F, G)$  is a real-valued integrable function and for each  $A \in \Sigma$ ,

$$D\left(\int_A F d\mu, \int_A G d\mu\right) \leq \int_A D(F, G) d\mu. \quad (14)$$

In particular,

$$\left\| \int_A F d\mu \right\|_{\mathcal{F}} \leq \int_A \|F\|_{\mathcal{F}} d\mu.$$

Above  $\mu$  could be the Lebesgue measure, with all the basic properties valid here too.

Basically here we have

$$\left[ \int_{\mathcal{A}} F d\mu \right]^r := \left[ \int_{\mathcal{A}} F_-^{(r)} d\mu, \int_{\mathcal{A}} F_+^{(r)} d\mu \right], \tag{15}$$

i.e.

$$\left( \int_{\mathcal{A}} F d\mu \right)_{\pm}^{(r)} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\pm}^{(r)} d\mu, \tag{16}$$

$\forall r \in [0, 1]$ , respectively.

We use

**Notation 18.** We denote

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{i=1}^2 D \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \tilde{0} \right) \right)^2 f(\vec{x}) := \\ & D \left( \frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1^2}, \tilde{0} \right) + D \left( \frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2^2}, \tilde{0} \right) + 2D \left( \frac{\partial^2 f(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}, \tilde{0} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In general we denote ( $j = 1, \dots, N$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{i=1}^m D \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \tilde{0} \right) \right)^j f(\vec{x}) := \\ & \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m: \sum_{i=1}^m j_i = j} \frac{j!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_m!} D \left( \frac{\partial^j f(x_1, \dots, x_m)}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \partial x_2^{j_2} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}}, \tilde{0} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

## 2 Convergence with rates of real multivariate neural network operators

Here we follow [9].

We need the following (see [10]) definitions.

**Definition 19.** A function  $b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be bell-shaped if  $b$  belongs to  $L^1$  and its integral is nonzero, if it is nondecreasing on  $(-\infty, a)$  and nonincreasing on  $[a, +\infty)$ , where  $a$  belongs to  $\mathbb{R}$ . In particular  $b(x)$  is a nonnegative number and at  $a$ ,  $b$  takes a global maximum; it is the center of the bell-shaped function. A bell-shaped function is said to be centered if its center is zero.

**Definition 20.** (see [10]) A function  $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) is said to be a  $d$ -dimensional bell-shaped function if it is integrable and its integral is not zero, and for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ ,

$$t \rightarrow b(x_1, \dots, t, \dots, x_d)$$

is a centered bell-shaped function, where  $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  arbitrary.

**Example 21.** (from [10]) Let  $b$  be a centered bell-shaped function over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \rightarrow b(x_1) \dots b(x_d)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional bell-shaped function.

**Assumption 22.** Here  $b(\vec{x})$  is of compact support  $\mathcal{B} := \prod_{i=1}^d [-T_i, T_i]$ ,  $T_i > 0$  and it may have jump discontinuities there. Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous and bounded function or a uniformly continuous function.

Here we mention the study ([9]) of pointwise convergence with rates over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , to the unit operator  $I$ , of the "normalized bell" real multivariate neural network operators

$$M_n(f)(\vec{x}) := \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \dots \sum_{k_d=-n^2}^{n^2} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right) b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{\sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \dots \sum_{k_d=-n^2}^{n^2} b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)},$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Clearly,  $M_n$  is a positive linear operator.

The terms in the ratio of multiple sums (19) can be nonzero iff simultaneously

$$\left| n^{1-\alpha} \left( x_i - \frac{k_i}{n} \right) \right| \leq T_i, \quad \text{all } i = 1, \dots, d,$$

i.e.,  $|x_i - \frac{k_i}{n}| \leq \frac{T_i}{n^{1-\alpha}}$ , all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , iff

$$nx_i - T_i n^\alpha \leq k_i \leq nx_i + T_i n^\alpha, \quad \text{all } i = 1, \dots, d. \tag{20}$$

To have the order

$$-n^2 \leq nx_i - T_i n^\alpha \leq k_i \leq nx_i + T_i n^\alpha \leq n^2, \tag{21}$$

we need  $n \geq T_i + |x_i|$ , all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . So (21) is true when we take

$$n \geq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} (T_i + |x_i|). \tag{22}$$

When  $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{B}$  in order to have (21) it is enough to assume that  $n \geq 2T^*$ , where  $T^* := \max\{T_1, \dots, T_d\} > 0$ . Consider

$$\tilde{I}_i := [nx_i - T_i n^\alpha, nx_i + T_i n^\alpha], \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The length of  $\tilde{I}_i$  is  $2T_i n^\alpha$ . By Proposition 1 of [1], we get that the cardinality of  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  that belong to  $\tilde{I}_i := \text{card}(k_i) \geq \max(2T_i n^\alpha - 1, 0)$ , any  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . In order to have  $\text{card}(k_i) \geq 1$ , we need  $2T_i n^\alpha - 1 \geq 1$  iff  $n \geq T_i^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ , any  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

Therefore, a sufficient condition in order to obtain the order (21) along with the interval  $\tilde{I}_i$  to contain at least one integer for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$  is that

$$n \geq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \left\{ T_i + |x_i|, T_i^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}. \tag{23}$$

Clearly as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we get that  $\text{card}(k_i) \rightarrow +\infty$ , all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Also notice that  $\text{card}(k_i)$  equals to the cardinality of integers in  $[\lceil nx_i - T_i n^\alpha \rceil, \lceil nx_i + T_i n^\alpha \rceil]$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . Here,  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes the integral part of the number, while  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes its ceiling.

From now on, in this article we will assume (23). Furthermore it holds

$$(M_n(f))(\vec{x}) := \frac{\sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right)}{V(\vec{x})} \tag{24}$$

$$\cdot b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)$$

all  $\vec{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where

$$V(\vec{x}) := \sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right). \tag{25}$$

From [9], we need and mention

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ; then*

$$\left| (M_n(f))(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}) \right| \leq \omega_1\left(f, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right). \tag{26}$$

*Inequality (26) is attained by constant functions.*

*Inequalities (26) gives  $M_n(f)(\vec{x}) \rightarrow f(\vec{x})$ , pointwise with rates, as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , where  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 1$ , provided that  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In the last case it is clear that  $M_n \rightarrow I$ , uniformly.*

From [9], we also need and mention

**Theorem 24.** *Let  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $f \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that all of its partial derivatives  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  of order  $N$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} : |\tilde{\alpha}| = N$ , are uniformly continuous or continuous are bounded. Then*

$$\left| (M_n(f))(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x}) \right| \leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(T^*)^j}{j! n^{j(1-\alpha)}} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right| \right)^j f(\vec{x}) \right) \right\} + \frac{(T^*)^N d^N}{N! n^{N(1-\alpha)}} \cdot \max_{\tilde{\alpha}: |\tilde{\alpha}|=N} \omega_1\left(f_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right). \tag{27}$$

*Inequality (27) is attained by constant functions. Also, (27) gives us with rates the pointwise convergences of  $M_n(f) \rightarrow f$  over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

### 3 Main Results - Convergence with rates of fuzzy multivariate neural networks

Here  $b$  is as in Definition 20.

**Assumption 25.** We suppose that  $b\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right)$  is of compact support  $B := \prod_{i=1}^d [-T_i, T_i]$ ,  $T_i > 0$ , and it may have jump discontinuities there. We consider  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  to be fuzzy continuous and fuzzy bounded function or fuzzy uniformly continuous function.

In this section we study the D-metric pointwise convergence with rates over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , to the fuzzy unit operator  $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ , of the fuzzy multivariate neural network operators ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\overline{x}^\lambda := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

$$M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f)\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right) := \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \dots \sum_{k_d=-n^2}^{n^2} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right) \odot b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{\sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \dots \sum_{k_d=-n^2}^{n^2} b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)} \\ &= \sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right) \\ & \odot \frac{b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{V\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where  $V\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right)$  as in (25) and under the assumption (23).

We notice for  $r \in [0, 1]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f)\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right)\right]^r = \sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} \left[f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right]^r \\ & \cdot \frac{b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{V\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right)} \\ &= \sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} \left[f_-^{(r)}\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right), f_+^{(r)}\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right] \\ & \cdot \frac{b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{V\left(\overline{x}^\lambda\right)} \\ &= \left[ \sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} f_-^{(r)}\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \frac{b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{V\left(\bar{x}^\downarrow\right)}, \\ & \sum_{k_1=\lceil nx_1 - T_1 n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_1 + T_1 n^\alpha \rceil} \dots \sum_{k_d=\lceil nx_d - T_d n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil nx_d + T_d n^\alpha \rceil} f_+^{(r)}\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right) \\ & \cdot \frac{b\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{V\left(\bar{x}^\downarrow\right)} \Bigg] \tag{31} \\ & = \left[ \left( M_n \left( f_-^{(r)} \right) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right), \left( M_n \left( f_+^{(r)} \right) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$\left( M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right)_\pm^{(r)} = M_n \left( f_\pm^{(r)} \right), \quad \forall r \in [0, 1], \tag{32}$$

respectively.

We present

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $\bar{x}^\downarrow \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ; then*

$$D \left( \left( M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right), f \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right) \leq \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})} \left( f, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right). \tag{33}$$

Notice that (33) gives  $M_n^{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{D} I_{\mathcal{F}}$  pointwise and uniformly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\downarrow}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & D \left( \left( M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right), f \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right) = \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max \left\{ \left| \left( M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right)_-^{(r)} \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) - f_-^{(r)} \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right|, \left| \left( M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right)_+^{(r)} \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) - f_+^{(r)} \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right| \right\} \stackrel{(32)}{=} \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max \left\{ \left| \left( M_n \left( f_-^{(r)} \right) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) - f_-^{(r)} \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right|, \left| \left( M_n \left( f_+^{(r)} \right) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) - f_+^{(r)} \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right| \right\} \stackrel{(26)}{\leq} \\ & \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \max \left\{ \omega_1 \left( f_-^{(r)}, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right), \omega_1 \left( f_+^{(r)}, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \right\} \stackrel{(7)}{=} \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})} \left( f, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

proving the claim. ■

We continue with

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $\bar{x}^\downarrow \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^N(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that all of its fuzzy partial derivatives  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  of order  $N$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} : |\tilde{\alpha}| = N$ , are fuzzy uniformly continuous or fuzzy continuous and fuzzy bounded. Then*

$$D \left( \left( M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f) \right) \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right), f \left( \bar{x}^\downarrow \right) \right) \leq \tag{34}$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\Gamma^*)^j}{j!n^{j(1-\alpha)}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d D \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \tilde{\delta} \right) \right)^j f(\bar{x}) \right] \right\} \\ + \frac{(\Gamma^*)^N d^N}{N!n^{N(1-\alpha)}} \max_{\tilde{\alpha}: |\tilde{\alpha}|=N} \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})} \left( f_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\Gamma^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right).$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $D \left( (M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\bar{x}), f(\bar{x}) \right) \rightarrow 0$  pointwise with rates.

*Proof.* As before we have

$$D \left( (M_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\bar{x}), f(\bar{x}) \right) \stackrel{(32)}{=} \\ \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ \left| (M_n(f_-^{(r)}))(\bar{x}) - f_-^{(r)}(\bar{x}) \right|, \left| (M_n(f_+^{(r)}))(\bar{x}) - f_+^{(r)}(\bar{x}) \right| \right\} \stackrel{(27)}{\leq} \\ \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\Gamma^*)^j}{j!n^{j(1-\alpha)}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right| \right)^j f_-^{(r)}(\bar{x}) \right] \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\Gamma^*)^N d^N}{N!n^{N(1-\alpha)}} \max_{\tilde{\alpha}: |\tilde{\alpha}|=N} \omega_1 \left( (f_-^{(r)})_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\Gamma^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right), \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\Gamma^*)^j}{j!n^{j(1-\alpha)}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right| \right)^j f_+^{(r)}(\bar{x}) \right] \right\} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\Gamma^*)^N d^N}{N!n^{N(1-\alpha)}} \max_{\tilde{\alpha}: |\tilde{\alpha}|=N} \omega_1 \left( (f_+^{(r)})_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\Gamma^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \right\} \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\Gamma^*)^j}{j!n^{j(1-\alpha)}}. \quad (36) \\ \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right| \right)^j f_-^{(r)}(\bar{x}) \right), \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right| \right)^j f_+^{(r)}(\bar{x}) \right) \right\} + \\ \frac{(\Gamma^*)^N d^N}{N!n^{N(1-\alpha)}} \max_{\tilde{\alpha}: |\tilde{\alpha}|=N} \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \max \left\{ \omega_1 \left( (f_-^{(r)})_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\Gamma^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right), \omega_1 \left( (f_+^{(r)})_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\Gamma^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right) \right\} \\ \stackrel{\text{(by (3), (7), (12), (18))}}{\leq} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(\Gamma^*)^j}{j!n^{j(1-\alpha)}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d D \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \tilde{\delta} \right) \right)^j f(\bar{x}) \right] \right\} + \quad (37) \\ \frac{(\Gamma^*)^N d^N}{N!n^{N(1-\alpha)}} \max_{\tilde{\alpha}: |\tilde{\alpha}|=N} \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})} \left( f_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{\Gamma^*}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right),$$

proving the claim. ■

## 4 Main Results - The fuzzy multivariate "normalized squashing type operators" and their fuzzy convergence to the fuzzy unit with rates

We give the following definition

**Definition 28.** Let the nonnegative function  $S : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d \geq 1$ ,  $S$  has compact support  $\mathcal{B} := \prod_{i=1}^d [-T_i, T_i]$ ,  $T_i > 0$  and is nondecreasing there for each coordinate.  $S$  can be continuous only on either  $\prod_{i=1}^d (-\infty, T_i]$  or  $\mathcal{B}$  and can have jump discontinuities. We call  $S$  the multivariate "squashing function" (see also [10]).

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$  be either fuzzy uniformly continuous or fuzzy continuous and fuzzy bounded function.

For  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we define the fuzzy multivariate "normalized squashing type operator",

$$L_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f)(\vec{x}) := \frac{\sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \dots \sum_{k_d=-n^2}^{n^2} f\left(\frac{k_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k_d}{n}\right) \odot S\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right)}{W(\vec{x})}, \quad (38)$$

where  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$n \geq \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \left\{ T_i + |x_i|, T_i^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right\}, \quad (39)$$

and

$$W(\vec{x}) := \sum_{k_1=-n^2}^{n^2} \dots \sum_{k_d=-n^2}^{n^2} S\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1}{n}\right), \dots, n^{1-\alpha}\left(x_d - \frac{k_d}{n}\right)\right). \quad (40)$$

It is clear that

$$(L_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\vec{x}) := \sum_{\vec{k} = \lceil n\vec{x} - \vec{T}n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil n\vec{x} + \vec{T}n^\alpha \rceil} \frac{f\left(\frac{\vec{k}}{n}\right) \odot S\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{k}}{n}\right)\right)}{\Phi(\vec{x})}, \quad (41)$$

where

$$\Phi(\vec{x}) := \sum_{\vec{k} = \lceil n\vec{x} - \vec{T}n^\alpha \rceil}^{\lceil n\vec{x} + \vec{T}n^\alpha \rceil} S\left(n^{1-\alpha}\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{k}}{n}\right)\right). \quad (42)$$

Here, we study the D-metric pointwise convergence with rates of  $(L_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\vec{x}) \rightarrow f(\vec{x})$ , as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

This is given first by the next result.

**Theorem 29.** Under the above terms and assumptions, we find that

$$D\left((L_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\bar{x}), f(\bar{x})\right) \leq \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}\left(f, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right). \quad (43)$$

Notice that (43) gives  $L_n^{\mathcal{F}} \xrightarrow{D} I_{\mathcal{F}}$  pointwise and uniformly, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , when  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathbb{U}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* Similar to (33). ■

We also give

**Theorem 30.** Let  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $f \in C_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathbb{N}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\mathbb{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that all of its fuzzy partial derivatives  $f_{\tilde{\alpha}}$  of order  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tilde{\alpha} : |\tilde{\alpha}| = \mathbb{N}$ , are fuzzy uniformly continuous or fuzzy continuous and fuzzy bounded. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D\left((L_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\bar{x}), f(\bar{x})\right) \leq & \quad (44) \\ \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\mathbb{N}} \frac{(T^*)^j}{j!n^{j(1-\alpha)}} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d D\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \tilde{0}\right) \right)^j f(\bar{x}) \right] \right\} & \\ + \frac{(T^*)^{\mathbb{N}} d^{\mathbb{N}}}{\mathbb{N}!n^{\mathbb{N}(1-\alpha)}} \max_{\tilde{\alpha}:|\tilde{\alpha}|=\mathbb{N}} \omega_1^{(\mathcal{F})}\left(f_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \frac{T^*}{n^{1-\alpha}}\right). & \end{aligned}$$

Inequality (44) gives us with rates the pointwise convergence of  $D((L_n^{\mathcal{F}}(f))(\bar{x}), f(\bar{x})) \rightarrow 0$  over  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.* Similar to (34). ■

Received: November 2012. Accepted: May 2014.

## References

- [1] G.A. Anastassiou, *Rate of convergence of some neural network operators to the unit-univariate case*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application, Vol. 212 (1997), 237-262.
- [2] G.A. Anastassiou, *Rate of Convergence of some Multivariate Neural Network Operators to the Unit*, Computers and Mathematics, 40(2000), 1-19.
- [3] G.A. Anastassiou, *Quantitative Approximation*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, New York, 2001.
- [4] G.A. Anastassiou, *Higher order Fuzzy Approximation by Fuzzy Wavelet type and Neural Network Operators*, Computers and Mathematics, 48(2004), 1387-1401.

- 
- [5] G.A. Anastassiou, *Fuzzy Approximation by Fuzzy Convolution type Operators*, Computers and Mathematics, 48(2004), 1369-1386.
- [6] G.A. Anastassiou, *Higher order Fuzzy Korovkin Theory via inequalities*, Communications in Applied Analysis, 10(2006), No. 2, 359-392.
- [7] G.A. Anastassiou, *Fuzzy Korovkin Theorems and Inequalities*, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics, 15(2007), No. 1, 169-205.
- [8] G.A. Anastassiou, *Higher order multivariate fuzzy approximation by multivariate fuzzy wavelet type and neural network operators*, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics, 19(2011), no. 3, 601-618.
- [9] G.A. Anastassiou, *Rate of convergence of some multivariate neural network operators to the unit, revisited*, J. of Computational Analysis and Application, to appear 2013.
- [10] P. Cardaliaguet, G. Euvrard, *Approximation of a function and its derivative with a neural network*, Neural Networks 5(1992), 207-220.
- [11] R. Goetschel Jr., W. Voxman, *Elementary fuzzy calculus*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 18(1986), 31-43.
- [12] O. Kaleva, *Fuzzy differential equations*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 24(1987), 301-317.
- [13] Y.K. Kim, B.M. Ghil, *Integrals of fuzzy-number-valued functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 86(1997), 213-222.
- [14] C. Wu, Z. Gong, *On Henstock integral of fuzzy-number-valued functions (I)*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 120, No. 3, (2001), 523-532.
- [15] C. Wu, M. Ma, *On embedding problem of fuzzy numer spaces: Part 1*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 44 (1991), 33-38.